## Senate Panel, With Ilm and Reports,

By JOHN W. FINNEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 30-The Senate Foreign Relations Com-nities, which has been the focal point of the opposition to the Administration's Safeguard

antiballistic-missile program, rested its case today with a private showing of a movie and the introduction of three secret Pentagon reports in an effort to show that the Safeguard system was till-determined for

its job.

Whether the movie showing or the introduction of the three studies would influence the outcome in the closely divided point where they probably will Senate was doubtful. After nearly four weeks of debate, positions have hardened to the not be changed by further argument.

But at least the Foreign Relations Committee was prepared to let the issue come to a vote, probably next week after the return of President Nixon from

his global trip.

More Votes Needed

At this point the opposition believes it can count on a mini-mum of 50 of the 100 Senate votes—a tally that presumes it will win the support of Senator Warren G. Magnuson, Democrat of Washington, one of the few remaining uncommitted Sena--

While under strong pressure

from his Democratic colleague from Washington, Senator Henry M. Jackson to vote with the Administra... nuson repor... that he is against deployment of the Safeguard system.

With a 50-50 tie vote, the opposition amendment prohibiting Safeguard deployment in the coming year would fail to be adopted. To provid, there-fore, the operation must pick up the vote of at least one of two other momnitted Sena-tors—Chara P. Anderson, Democrat a New Mexico, or John J. W. ms, Republican of Delaware, Or the outcome may sum on which Senators can be sovaled upon to be absent on the day of the vote.

As a dirman of the Foreign Relations Committee's Disarmant Subcommittee, Senator Albert Gore, Democrat of Tennessee, contended that the three Pentagon reports failed

Rests Case Against ABM

To this line of argument Senator Barry Goldwater, Republican of Armona, replied in System, there would be spitted was engaging in a the key radar that provides mutually self-defeating, argument.

immediately escalate the arms making it vulnerable to attack. race."

## A Main Objection

objections raised by the oppo-sition is that the ABM components, originally designed for protection of cities under the Johnson Administration's Sentinel program, are ill-suited for protection of missile bases, as proposed under the revised ABM program set forth in March by the Nixon administration.

According to Senator Gore, this objection was supported by the three Pentagon studies, which examined alternative ways of protecting the Minute-van silos against a Soviet "first strike" attack.

One of the studies, entitled "Report of the Jason Panel on Hard Point Defense," was prepared in 1967 by the Jason Di-vision of the Institute for De-Schator Mag-y has indicated office to vote ment of the Safe-ment of the Safe-the Pentagon's weapons analysis group. Another was entitled "Radars for Hard Point Defense" and was prepared last year by the Aerospace Corporation—the Air Ferce's technical adviser on Force's technical adviser on missile and space programs.

Both reports, according to Senator Gore, emphasized that hard point defense present di-ferent technical requirements

than a city defense, particularly in the design and number of iradars. Both reports were said to have recommended that for a hard point defense of missile bases there should be far more radars than proposed in the Safeguard system and that the radars should be protected or "hardened" against the blast reffects of a nuclear explosion.

A similar conclusion was said to have been reached in a report on an ABM conference held last November at Cape Kennedy

One Radar Per Base

ment by contending on the one siles — at each of the Minutehand that the lafeguard system man bases to be protected. This would be increative and on radar is able to withstand only the other hand warning that about one-tenth of the blast its deployment "will so friences that can be withstood by the its deployment "will so frighten that can be withstood by the the Soviet Union that it will underground Minuteman silos,

After considering the Pentagon reports in the morning session, the Foreign Relations One of the principal technical Committee in the afternoon had

> a private showing of a movie that reportedly showed that offensive weapons can penetrate any missile defense system.

The movie was prepared by the General Electric Company, now one of the major Safeguard contractors, before the Nixon Administration decided upon an ABM system to defend missile bases.

On behalf of its case, the Pentagon also supplied to the committee a one-page memorandum on the conclusions reached by a task force of the Defense Science Board at a meeting last March. The memorandum said the task force, headed by Dr. Richard Latter of the Rand Corporation, con-cluded "that systems design appeared to be adequate and would meet the stated objectives for the Safeguard system."

Not supplied by the Penatgon were the conclusions reached by the task force at another meeting held in recent weeks. According to Senate sources, on re-examination the task force raised many of the technical reservations about the design of the Safeguard system that were contained in the other reports.

Seguant second as a "hard vanced Research Projects count" dela Approximate and the Pentagon's Advanced Research Projects count dela Approximate Projects count" dela Approximate Projects count of the Pentagon's Advanced Research Projects count of the Pentagon's Advanced Research Projects country dela Approximate Projects country dela Projects country del Projects country de